



ELSEVIER

Antibacterial peptides for therapeutic use: obstacles and realistic outlook

Alexandra K Marr, William J Gooderham and Robert EW Hancock

Cationic antimicrobial peptides are produced by almost all species of life as a component of their immediate non-specific defense against infections. The assets of these peptides in clinical application include their potential for broad-spectrum activity, rapid bactericidal activity and low propensity for resistance development, whereas possible disadvantages include their high cost, limited stability (especially when composed of L-amino acids), and unknown toxicology and pharmacokinetics. Initial barriers to their success are being increasingly overcome with the development of stable, more cost-effective and potent broad-spectrum synthetic peptides. Thus, there is hope that they will spawn a new generation of antimicrobials with a broad range of topical and systemic applications against infections.

Addresses

Department of Microbiology and immunology and Centre for Microbial Diseases and Immunity Research, University of British Columbia, 232–2259 Lower Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

Corresponding author: Hancock, Robert EW (bob@cmdr.ubc.ca)

Current Opinion in Pharmacology 2006, 6:468–472

This review comes from a themed issue on
Anti-infectives
Edited by Karen Bush and Lynn Silver

Available online 4th August 2006

1471-4892/\$ – see front matter

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

DOI [10.1016/j.coph.2006.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2006.04.006)

Introduction

Since antibiotics became widely available more than half a century ago, they have had an enormous impact on our ability to treat bacterial diseases worldwide. However, the past 40 years have seen only three new classes of antibiotics enter medicine (lipopeptides, oxazolidinones and streptogramins), all geared towards Gram-positive bacterial infections. A lack of new antibiotics for treatment of Gram-negative infections combined with emerging multi-drug resistance issues (Table 1) demands that new antimicrobial strategies be explored for treating these infections. With an understanding of the pivotal role that cationic host defense (antimicrobial) peptides play in preventing infections by microbial pathogens in many organisms, it has been proposed that these peptides might form the foundation for a new class of clinically useful antimicrobials. To date, more than 600 peptides (in virtually all species of life) have been described that not

only kill pathogenic microorganisms, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, viruses, protozoa and fungi (Table 1), but also play a central role in recruiting and promoting elements of the innate immune system [1–4]. This enormous peptide diversity is achieved through several structural classes, whereby all peptides, regardless of class, share a net positive charge and approximately 50% hydrophobic residues, which confers the ability to fold into an amphiphilic conformation upon interaction with bacterial membranes [5]. The development of peptides for clinical use is accompanied by challenges now being resolved owing to an increased understanding of how peptide structure influences mechanism of action. This review focuses primarily on the advantages and disadvantages of cationic antimicrobial peptides when compared with conventional antibiotics, and summarizes recent clinical developments with these peptides.

Advantages of peptides over conventional antibiotics

A major motivation for therapeutic peptide use is their diverse potential applications: they can be used as single antimicrobials, in combination with other antibiotics for a synergistic effect, or as immunomodulatory and/or endotoxin-neutralizing compounds [4]. In particular, the most potent agents have unusually broad spectra of activity against most Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, and this spectrum can extend further to fungi and even a variety of viruses (Table 1). Although the potency of these antimicrobial peptides against the more susceptible pathogens is normally not as strong as certain conventional antibiotics, one of their major strengths is their ability to kill multi-drug-resistant bacteria at similar concentrations. Compared with conventional antibiotics, the killing of bacteria by peptides is extremely rapid and can involve multiple bacterial cellular targets [6••] (Table 1). Although interaction with the cytoplasmic membrane is obligatory and some peptides are able to perforate membranes at their minimal inhibition concentration (MIC), a number of peptides have been shown to translocate across the membrane and have an effect on cytoplasmic processes, including inhibition of macromolecular synthesis, particular enzymes or cell division, or the stimulation of autolysis. Minimal inhibitory concentrations and minimal bactericidal concentrations often coincide (less than a two-fold difference), indicating that killing is generally bactericidal, a highly desirable mode of action. Furthermore, peptides are not hindered by the resistance mechanisms that are placing currently used antibiotics in jeopardy, as excellent activity is seen, for example,

Table 1

A comparison of conventional antibiotics with cationic antimicrobial peptides.

Property	Conventional antibiotics	Cationic antimicrobial peptides
Spectrum of activity	Bacterial infections (often selective)	Bacterial, fungal and viral infections; septicaemia; and/or inflammation
Uptake	Specific mechanisms	Relatively non-specific: based on charge. Self-promoted uptake
Targets	Usually one dominating target or class of targets (e.g. penicillin-binding proteins, topoisomerases, ribosomes)	Relatively less specific (possibly multiple targets in any given cell)
Resistance rate and mechanism	Resistance development at frequencies of 10^{-7} to 10^{-10} , or after a few passages at sub-MIC. Resistance caused by mechanisms such as reduced uptake or increased efflux, chemical modification or degradation of antibiotic, or altered target	Resistance generally cannot be directly selected. Needs multiple passages on sub-MIC concentrations to induce resistance. Resistance caused by mechanisms such as an impermeable outer membrane or specific proteases (can be overcome by incorporating D-amino acids or backbone alterations)
Additional activities	No	Include anti-endotoxic and/or boosting of innate immunity
Pharmacokinetics	Varies but once per week antimicrobials under development	Short systemic half-life owing to proteolytic degradation
Toxicology	Antibiotics tend to be one of the safest groups of pharmaceuticals	No known topical toxicities; systemic toxicity issues remain undefined
Manufacturing costs	Can be inexpensive (e.g. \$0.8 per gram for aminoglycosides)	Expensive (\$50–400 per gram)

against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and multi-drug resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [7]. Indeed, killing can occur synergistically with other peptides and conventional antibiotics, which might help overcome some of the barriers that resistant bacteria have created against currently used antibiotics.

As with any new class of antimicrobial therapeutics, a central issue is whether or not resistance can be provoked. The development of complete cationic peptide resistance has been proposed to be unlikely because of the obligatory interaction of these peptides with the bacterial cytoplasmic membrane and the consequent necessity to reconfigure this membrane, and/or the possibility that peptides have multiple targets, making elimination of any one target of lesser consequence. Indeed, although some resistance mechanisms have been described [8], these result in only a modest two- to four-fold increase in resistance. To illustrate the diversity of these peptides, there appears to be no general peptide cross-resistance mechanism whereby bacteria are resistant to every single peptide. It takes 30 passages of *P. aeruginosa* in sub-MIC peptide to increase its resistance by two- to four-fold [7] whereas, under the same conditions, resistance to the aminoglycoside gentamicin can increase by 190-fold [9]; conversely, direct selection does not generally lead to resistance (Table 1). One scenario that has been proposed is that therapeutically administered peptides might potentially promote resistance to peptides of the innate immune system, rendering ourselves more vulnerable to peptide-resistant bacterial infections [10]. This view is severe, as widespread bacterial peptide resistance is rare; despite the fact that several peptides have been used in over-the-counter products (polymyxin B, gramicidin S) and in foods (nisin), this has not impacted on the level of

peptide susceptibility of organisms or on our immune systems' ability to ward off bacterial infections [11]. Indeed, there are very few naturally peptide-resistant organisms such as *Burkholderia*, *Proteus* and *Serratia* sp.

Severe bacterial infections require systemic antibacterial drug administration to quickly halt and limit the spread of infection; in such cases, endotoxaemia/sepsis is a common and dangerous complication of systemic therapy in individuals with bacteremia. One substantial advantage of peptides over conventional antibiotics is that they have the ability to neutralize sepsis/endotoxemia. In addition, some peptides have been demonstrated to have diverse roles in mammalian innate immunity [12] (Table 1). One of the most important roles described is an ability to stimulate the innate immune response while simultaneously dampening the potentially harmful inflammatory response [2]. For example, IMX00C1, a synthetic peptide with no antibacterial activity *in vitro*, has been shown to be protective in bacterial infections in animals [13].

Impediments to therapeutic peptide use

The high cost of manufacturing peptides is arguably the principal problem preventing the widespread clinical use of this class of antibacterial therapeutics (Table 1). As a result, there is a growing need for a commercial-scale peptide production platform. Novozyme Inc (<http://www.novozymes.com/en>) reported, using a proprietary fungal-based system [14[•]], recombinant production of the peptide plectasin at the scale and purity required for therapeutic administration. Plectasin is a promising new antimicrobial peptide, as it is tolerated in high doses and has demonstrated usefulness in animal models of bacterial peritonitis and pneumonia [14[•]]. Another approach is to use conventional or solution-phase peptide

synthesis but to decrease the size of peptides; in this regard, there has been a noticeable transition among peptide companies towards smaller, less expensive peptides rather than developing larger, more expensive natural peptides [15^{••},16].

Although peptides exhibit significant *in vitro* activity against bacteria, for many peptides this activity appears to be lost under physiological salt and serum conditions. For example, salt-dependent inactivation of human β -defensins in high-salt cystic fibrosis bronchopulmonary fluids abrogates the ability of these peptides to kill *P. aeruginosa* colonizing lungs of these patients, leading to deadly chronic infections [17,18], whereas another human peptide, LL-37, is strongly antagonized by physiological concentrations of mono- and di-valent cations [13]. For such peptides, the term ‘host defence peptides’ might be more accurate, as antimicrobial activity is probably a result of immunomodulatory effects. However, not all peptides are salt sensitive, and some peptides show potent salt-insensitive antimicrobial activities (e.g. tachyplesins and polyphemusins) [19]. It is possible to develop synthetic α -helical peptides that vary substantially in activity and salt resistance by changing peptide hydrophobicity, amphipathicity, charge and degree of α -helicity [20].

As few studies of peptide-mediated toxicity (long- or short-term) have been published, future focus must be placed on understanding the nature of any prospective toxicity problems. Mechanistically, peptide action centres on membrane interaction, so toxicity problems have not been unexpected, although selectivity can indeed be achieved through lipid charge, membrane potential and the presence of cholesterol. Single amino acid substitutions in α -helical peptides demonstrate that hemolytic activity of peptides correlates with high hydrophobicity, high amphipathicity and high helicity [21]. Conversely, antimicrobial activity is less dependent upon high hydrophobicity, high amphipathicity and high helicity [22,23]. These and other studies are aimed at the rational design of peptides with high specificity towards prokaryotic membranes but minimal toxicity towards eukaryotic membranes. Other potential toxicities that are not *per se* a result of membrane interactions have not been addressed. Despite these toxicity issues, which are now being more thoroughly elucidated, cationic lipopeptide polymyxins are being used as last resort therapeutic for multi-drug-resistant *Pseudomonas* [24].

There is a shortage of studies thoroughly examining systemic peptide pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic issues. Issues yet to be resolved include peptide aggregation problems, the *in vivo* half-life of peptides (and particularly their susceptibility to mammalian proteases), and the required dosing frequency. Several studies have employed mouse models of bacterial infections; for

example, the efficacy of a *de novo* engineered peptide in a *P. aeruginosa* mouse intraperitoneal bacteremia model indicates that peptides can be constructed to resist systemic factors while displaying systemic antibacterial properties [25]. Likewise, intravenously administered cyclic D,L- α -peptides were shown to be both highly stable in serum and protease resistant, while retaining antibacterial action against *S. aureus* for a prolonged time period [26]. In order to widen their therapeutic window, further peptide structure–activity studies must be conducted to increase tolerability and specificity. Once the pharmacodynamics of peptides are more thoroughly understood, dosing regimes can be designed rationally to optimize disease outcomes and to minimize toxicity concerns.

Commercialization and clinical development of antimicrobial peptides

The starting point for drug development is the identification of natural antimicrobial peptides followed by their modification and optimization. Companies such as Magainin Pharmaceuticals (<http://www.genaera.com>), Micrologix (Migenix; <http://www.migenix.com>) and IntraBiotics (<http://www.intrabiotics.com>) designed therapeutic peptides that differed from their natural progenitor antimicrobial peptide by only a few amino acids. One of these ‘first generation’ antimicrobial peptides was pexiganan (MSI-78), a synthetic 22-amino-acid variant of the amphibian peptide magainin 2 (Magainin Pharmaceutical Inc, since renamed Genaera, PA, USA). Even though Phase III clinical studies proved pexiganan to be efficient in wound healing, with few reports of toxicity [27], the FDA rejected this potential new drug in 1999 as it did not offer any great advantage over the current standard of care. Similarly, IB367 — a pig proteoglycan analogue — failed to achieve efficacy against polymicrobial infections in oral mucositis [28]. Xoma (Berkeley, CA; <http://www.xoma.com>) developed an injectable formulation of the cationic bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein fragment rBPI21 (NEUPREX[®]), which showed only a trend towards efficacy in a Phase III trial for endotoxin-mediated complications of meningococcal disease. Recent effort has thus focused on relatively small and cost-effective molecules that contain only the biologically active core region of the natural antimicrobial peptide.

Currently, only one anti-infective with topical application has shown efficacy in Phase III clinical studies (MX-226; also known as CPI-226). MX-226 (omiganan pentahydrochloride 1% gel; Migenix), a bovine indolicidin-based peptide, was developed for the prevention of contamination of central venous catheters. In a completed Phase III study, MX-226 demonstrated a statistically significant 49% reduction of local catheter site infections, as well as a 21% reduction of catheter colonization (http://www.migenix.com/prod_226.html). Cadence (<http://www.cadencepharm.com>) is currently conducting a confirmatory

Phase IIIb study of MX-226 for the prevention of local catheter site infections. Other current trials involve successfully completed Phase II clinical trials against mild-to-moderate acne (indolicidin-based MX594AN; Migenix) and completed Phase I trials for the prevention of infections in patients undergoing allogeneic stem cell transplantation (human lactoferricin-based hLF1-11; AM Pharma [<http://www.am-pharma.com>]).

Antimicrobial peptides are currently used clinically in two topical and two systemically applied formulations for the treatment of several diseases, as well as prophylactically to prevent infections in neutropenic or cystic fibrosis patients [29]. Topical applications of polymyxins (polymyxin B and polymyxin E) and gramicidin S in the treatment of infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* are clinically safe and effective, with little development of resistance. Polymyxin B is a cyclic 10-amino-acid cationic antimicrobial lipopeptide that also binds and neutralizes endotoxin. Unfortunately, both polymyxins and gramicidin S are too toxic at clinically used doses to be utilized systemically as anti-bactericidal or anti-endotoxic reagents. A topical combination of polymyxin B and gramicidin S (or neomycin) are routinely used clinically, often together with bacitracin for generic wound creams, eye drops and ear drops. Even though substantial effort was put into the modification of polymyxins and gramicidin to decrease their toxicity, no 'second generation' drug has yet arisen from these studies. However, a pro-drug, colomycin, in which the amino groups of colistin (polymyxin E1, E2, E3) are neutralized by methane sulphonation, is used systemically in intravenous therapy of lung infections in cystic fibrosis patients [30]. Conversely, daptomycin is an anionic lipopeptide antibiotic with bactericidal activity against Gram-positive microorganisms. In September 2003, the FDA approved daptomycin for the treatment of complicated skin and skin-structure infections caused by susceptible strains of *S. aureus* (including methicillin-resistant strains), *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subspecies *equisimilis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* (vancomycin-susceptible strains only) (Cubicin: package insert; Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Lexington MA; 2003). Intriguingly, it is Ca^{2+} -dependent and, in the presence of Ca^{2+} , has a similar action on membranes to the antimicrobial peptides [31]. It was shown that daptomycin is highly efficacious against experimental pneumococcal meningitis [32].

Conclusions

Several attempts have been made over recent years to advance novel broad-spectrum cationic antimicrobial peptides into clinical use, with limited success. Reasons for this failure are certainly diverse, but key unresolved issues regarding toxicity and stability are major causes of the lack of systemic application, towards which peptide therapeutic application holds the most potential. Without

question, the size of peptides is an important issue for reducing manufacturing costs. Given that the average clinically utilized drug reflects the analysis of thousands of compounds and decades of scientific research in reaching the market, all of the above-mentioned hurdles for antimicrobial peptides are common to virtually every drug. Cationic antimicrobial peptides possess qualities that make them excellent candidates for antibacterial therapeutics, including a broad spectrum of antibacterial activity, ease of synthesis, and a novel mechanism of action. Low levels of peptide resistance are observed, and only after a large number of repeated passages in sub-inhibitory concentrations. At a time when resistance to commercially available antibiotics has been steadily increasing, there is an urgent need for novel, effective and safe antimicrobial therapeutics. The rapid emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections is one of the greatest challenges facing modern medicine. With the successful development of peptides and a sensible strategy for therapeutic implementation, mankind might remain one step ahead of our antibiotic-resistant bacterial adversaries.

Acknowledgements

The authors' work on antimicrobial cationic peptides is financially supported by the Applied Food and Materials Network and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research. WJG is supported by studentships from the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and the Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research. RH is the recipient of a Canada Research Chair in Microbiology.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Hancock REW: **Cationic peptides: effectors in innate immunity and novel antimicrobials.** *Lancet Infect Dis* 2001, **1**:156-164.
 2. Brown KL, Hancock REW: **Cationic host defense (antimicrobial) peptides.** *Curr Opin Immunol* 2006, **18**:24-30.
 3. Bowdish DM, Hancock REW: **Anti-endotoxin properties of cationic host defence peptides and proteins.** *J Endotoxin Res* 2005, **11**:230-236.
 4. Zasloff M: **Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms.** *Nature* 2002, **415**:389-395.
 5. Powers JP, Hancock REW: **The relationship between peptide structure and antibacterial activity.** *Peptides* 2003, **24**:1681-1691.
 6. Brogden KA: **Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or •• metabolic inhibitors in bacteria?** *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2005, **3**:238-250.
- In this review, the different models of antimicrobial peptide activity are presented, with a discussion on the relevance of the mechanisms to antimicrobial peptide-induced killing of microorganisms.
7. Zhang L, Parente J, Harris SM, Woods DE, Hancock REW, Falla TJ: **Antimicrobial peptide therapeutics for cystic fibrosis.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49**:2921-2927.
 8. Nizet V: **Antimicrobial peptide resistance mechanisms of human bacterial pathogens.** *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2006, **8**:11-26.
 9. Steinberg DA, Hurst MA, Fujii CA, Kung AH, Ho JF, Cheng FC, Lounsbury DJ, Fiddes JC: **Protegrin-1: a broad-spectrum, rapidly**

- microbicidal peptide with in vivo activity.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1997, **41**:1738-1742.
10. Bell G, Gouyon PH: **Arming the enemy: the evolution of resistance to self-proteins.** *Microbiology* 2003, **149**:1367-1375.
 11. Hancock REW: **Concerns regarding resistance to self-proteins.** *Microbiology* 2003, **149**:3343-3344.
 12. Finlay BB, Hancock REW: **Can innate immunity be enhanced to treat microbial infections?** *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2004, **2**:497-504.
 13. Bowdish DM, Davidson DJ, Lau YE, Lee K, Scott MG, Hancock REW: **Impact of LL-37 on anti-infective immunity.** *J Leukoc Biol* 2005, **77**:451-459.
 14. Mygind PH, Fischer RL, Schnorr KM, Hansen MT, Sonksen CP, Ludvigsen S, Raventos D, Buskov S, Christensen B, De Maria L *et al.*: **Plectasin is a peptide antibiotic with therapeutic potential from a saprophytic fungus.** *Nature* 2005, **437**:975-980.
The authors demonstrate that plectasin has properties that make it an attractive candidate for development into a human therapeutic. Fully processed active plectasin can effectively be produced at high yields in a proprietary fungal expression system for industrial-scale production.
 15. Zhang L, Falla TJ: **Antimicrobial peptides: therapeutic potential.** *Expert Opin Pharmacother* 2006, **7**:653-663.
This recent article discusses initiatives underway to develop peptide-based therapeutics, as well as the wide range of clinical applications that companies are pursuing.
 16. Hilpert K, Volkmer-Engert R, Walter T, Hancock REW: **High-throughput generation of small antibacterial peptides with improved activity.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2005, **23**:1008-1012.
 17. Goldman MJ, Anderson GM, Stolzenberg ED, Kari UP, Zasloff M, Wilson JM: **Human beta-defensin-1 is a salt-sensitive antibiotic in lung that is inactivated in cystic fibrosis.** *Cell* 1997, **88**:553-560.
 18. Smith JJ, Travis SM, Greenberg EP, Welsh MJ: **Cystic fibrosis airway epithelia fail to kill bacteria because of abnormal airway surface fluid.** *Cell* 1996, **85**:229-236.
 19. Tam JP, Lu YA, Yang JL: **Correlations of cationic charges with salt sensitivity and microbial specificity of cystine-stabilized beta-strand antimicrobial peptides.** *J Biol Chem* 2002, **277**:50450-50456.
 20. Friedrich C, Scott MG, Karunaratne N, Yan H, Hancock REW: **Salt-resistant alpha-helical cationic antimicrobial peptides.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999, **43**:1542-1548.
 21. Chen Y, Mant CT, Farmer SW, Hancock REW, Vasil ML, Hodges RS: **Rational design of alpha-helical antimicrobial peptides with enhanced activities and specificity/therapeutic index.** *J Biol Chem* 2005, **280**:12316-12329.
 22. Lee DL, Powers JP, Pfelegerl K, Vasil ML, Hancock REW, Hodges RS: **Effects of single D-amino acid substitutions on disruption of beta-sheet structure and hydrophobicity in cyclic 14-residue antimicrobial peptide analogs related to gramicidin S.** *J Pept Res* 2004, **63**:69-84.
 23. Oren Z, Shai Y: **Selective lysis of bacteria but not mammalian cells by diastereomers of melittin: structure-function study.** *Biochemistry* 1997, **36**:1826-1835.
 24. Michalopoulos AS, Tsiodras S, Rellos K, Mentzelopoulos S, Falagas ME: **Colistin treatment in patients with ICU-acquired infections caused by multiresistant gram-negative bacteria: the renaissance of an old antibiotic.** *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2005, **11**:115-121.
 25. Deslouches B, Islam K, Craigo JK, Paranjape SM, Montelaro RC, Mietzner TA: **Activity of the de novo engineered antimicrobial peptide WLBU2 against pseudomonas aeruginosa in human serum and whole blood: implications for systemic applications.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49**:3208-3216.
 26. Dartois V, Sanchez-Quesada J, Cabezas E, Chi E, Dubbelde C, Dunn C, Granja J, Gritzen C, Weinberger D, Ghadiri MR *et al.*: **Systemic antibacterial activity of novel synthetic cyclic peptides.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005, **49**:3302-3310.
 27. Lamb HM, Wiseman LR: **Pexiganan acetate.** *Drugs* 1998, **56**:1047-1052 discussion 1053-1044.
 28. Trotti A, Garden A, Warde P, Symonds P, Langer C, Redman R, Pajak TF, Fleming TR, Henke M, Bourhis J *et al.*: **A multinational, randomized phase III trial of iseganan HCl oral solution for reducing the severity of oral mucositis in patients receiving radiotherapy for head-and-neck malignancy.** *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2004, **58**:674-681.
 29. Evans ME, Feola DJ, Rapp RP: **Polymyxin B sulfate and colistin: old antibiotics for emerging multiresistant gram-negative bacteria.** *Ann Pharmacother* 1999, **33**:960-967.
 30. Conway SP, Pond MN, Watson A, Etherington C, Robey HL, Goldman MH: **Intravenous colistin sulphomethate in acute respiratory exacerbations in adult patients with cystic fibrosis.** *Thorax* 1997, **52**:987-993.
 31. Jung D, Rozek A, Okon M, Hancock REW: **Structural transitions as determinants of the action of the calcium-dependent antibiotic daptomycin.** *Chem Biol* 2004, **11**:949-957.
 32. Cottagnoud P, Pfister M, Acosta F, Cottagnoud M, Flatz L, Kuhn F, Muller HP, Stucki A: **Daptomycin is highly efficacious against penicillin-resistant and penicillin- and quinolone-resistant pneumococci in experimental meningitis.** *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004, **48**:3928-3933.