CD25⁺CD4⁺ Regulatory T Cells from the Peripheral Blood of Asymptomatic HIV-infected Individuals Regulate CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ HIV-specific T Cell Immune Responses In Vitro and Are Associated with Favorable Clinical Markers of Disease Status
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Abstract
Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease is associated with loss of CD4⁺ T cells, chronic immune activation, and progressive immune dysfunction. HIV-specific responses, particularly those of CD4⁺ T cells, become impaired early after infection, before the loss of responses directed against other antigens; the basis for this diminution has not been elucidated fully. The potential role of CD25⁺CD4⁺ regulatory T cells (T reg cells), previously shown to inhibit immune responses directed against numerous pathogens, as suppressors of HIV-specific T cell responses was investigated. In the majority of healthy HIV-infected individuals, CD25⁺CD4⁺ T cells significantly suppressed cellular proliferation and cytokine production by CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells in response to HIV antigens/peptides in vitro; these effects were cell contact dependent and IL-10 and TGF-β independent. Individuals with strong HIV-specific CD25⁺ T reg cell function in vitro had significantly lower levels of plasma viremia and higher CD4⁺:CD8⁺ T cell ratios than did those individuals in whom this activity could not be detected. These in vitro data suggest that CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cells may contribute to the diminution of HIV-specific T cell immune responses in vivo in the early stages of HIV disease.
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Introduction
HIV-1 infection is characterized by a progressive loss of CD4⁺ T cells, chronic immune activation, and an increasing array of immune dysfunctions (1–3). HIV-specific T cell immune responses are particularly impaired, and defects appear earlier in disease as compared with responses directed at other microbial antigens (4–6). The basis of HIV-specific T cell immune dysfunction has not been elucidated fully, and it is likely that both HIV-specific and nonspecific mechanisms are involved (7–12). In this regard, one avenue that has not been explored fully in relation to HIV-specific immune responses is the potential role of host-mediated immunosuppressive mechanisms that might be activated in the face of persistent antigenic exposure.

CD25⁺CD4⁺ suppressor/regulatory T cells (T reg cells), a cellular subset with constitutive immunosuppressive activity first described in the context of autoimmune disorders (13, 14), are one of several cellular subsets involved in controlling inappropriate or excessive immune activation (15–18). CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cells have been demonstrated to suppress antigen-specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell responses directed against tumors (19, 20) and allografts (21, 22) as well as against parasitic (23–25), bacterial (26, 27), fungal (28, 29), and viral (30–32) antigens or infections in vivo in mice.
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Furthermore, the immunosuppressive activity of CD25+CD4+ T reg cells has been implicated in the inability of mice to clear infection with certain pathogens (23, 29–32) or to mount effective responses to vaccination (26). Although studies on CD25+CD4+ T reg cells have been conducted primarily in murine systems, a population with a virtually identical phenotypic and functional profile has been described in humans (33). The present paper presents evidence supporting the potential role of CD25+CD4+ T reg-mediated immunosuppression in the diminution of HIV-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T responses in asymptomatic HIV-infected individuals.

Materials and Methods

Purification of Cellular Populations. Phlebotomy (National Institutes of Health [NIH] protocol no. 91-I-0140) or apheresis (NIH protocol no. 81-I-164) was performed on unaffected control individuals (n = 20) or on HIV-infected, untreated, or antiretroviral therapy-treated individuals (n = 52; see Table I). PBMCs, obtained by Ficoll-Hypaque density gradient centrifugation, were either depleted of CD25+ cells using anti-CD25-coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec) to obtain CD25-depleted PBMCs or exposed to a cocktail of immunomagnetic beads (StemCell Technologies Inc.) to obtain CD4+ T cells (>95% purity) by negative selection. For lymphocyte proliferation assays (LPAs), CD4+ memory T cells were obtained by depleting CD45RA+ cells from CD4+ T cells using anti-CD45RA mAb (BD Biosciences) coupled to goat anti–mouse immunomagnetic beads (Dynal); subsequently, CD25+ and CD25−CD4+ memory T cell subsets were obtained using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec). CD25 expression in CD25-depleted and CD25+CD4+ subsets was determined using anti-CD25–coupled immunomagnetic beads (Miltenyi Biotec).

LPA. PBMCs or memory CD4+ T cell subsets were plated at 1–2 × 10^6 cells/well in media (RPMI 1640 supplemented with 1 mM glutamine, antibiotics, and Hepes buffer) plus 10% FCS and stimulated with HIV p24. Fig. S3 shows the purity of CD45RA+ T cells present in unfractionated PBMCs, or CD45RA−CD4+ T cells, and autologous CD2-depleted PBMCs (APCs) were isolated as aforementioned. A portion of CD25−CD4+ T cells to serve as targets were exposed to VSV env-pseudotyped (Δ nef-luciferase) HIV virus (single round replication competent) at a multiplicity of infection of 0.5 for 6–14 h and washed three times. Purified CD8+ T cells were labeled with the fluorescent dye carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) by standard methods. Uninfected or VSV env-pseudotyped HIV super-infected target cells were cultured with CFSE-labeled CD8+ T cells alone or together with CD25− or CD25+CD4+ T cells at a ratio of 0.05–0.2:1:1, respectively, with 20% CD2− PBMCs in media plus 10% human AB serum. Cells were stained for CD69, CD3, or CD8 and intracellular IFN-γ and IL-12 determined using flow cytometry.

Assessment of FoxP3 Expression by Western Blot. Total cellular lysate from 5 × 10^5 freshly isolated PBMC subsets were run on 10% Tris/Glycine polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Pierce Chemical Co.), and membranes were probed with polyclonal rabbit anti–human FoxP3 antisera followed by horseradish peroxidase–conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary Ab (Cell Signaling); signal detection was achieved with SuperSignal West Pico chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce Chemical Co.).

Online Supplementary Material. Fig. S1 shows the purity of isolated CD25− and CD25+CD4+ T cell subsets; cells were gated on CD3+ T cells (>97%) and assessed for surface CD4 and CD25 expression. Fig. S2 depicts the mean net cpm ± SD obtained in LPAs after exposure of CD4+ T cell subsets from p24 LPA suppressors and nonsuppressors to 10 μg/ml HIV p24. Fig. S3 shows the mean net cpm ± SD obtained in LPAs after exposure of CD4+ T cell subsets from p24 LPA suppressors, nonsuppressors, and nonresponders to Candida albicans. Proliferative responses of CD4+ memory T cell subsets to C. albicans were performed using...
Results

Characterization of CD25+CD4+ T Cells in the Peripheral Blood of HIV-infected and Uninfected Individuals. Human CD25+CD4+ T cells have been shown to be a heterogeneous population that includes immunosuppressive T reg cells, anergic but nonsuppressive T cells, and normal activated T cells (35, 36). Until very recently (37), no surface cell markers consistent with CD25+CD4+ T reg cells, making identification and quantification of this population difficult. However, the cellular transcription factor FoxP3 has been demonstrated to be functionally relevant to immunosuppressive activity of CD25+CD4+ T cells in both mice and humans (38–42). In this regard, fresh CD25+, but not CD25-, CD4+ T cells from both HIV-infected and uninfected individuals expressed FoxP3, and there were no clear qualitative differences in FoxP3 expression between these two groups of individuals (Fig. 1 A).

In addition, the expression of high-density CD25 has been correlated, in numerous studies, with immunosuppressive T reg cell activity in human CD4+CD25+ T cells (35, 36, 43–45). Using this marker, we attempted to quantify total CD25+CD4+ T reg cells in PBMCs from HIV-infected (n = 38) and uninfected (n = 20) individuals. PBMCs from HIV-infected and uninfected individuals contained similar frequencies of total CD25+ cells in the memory (CD45RO+) CD4+ T cell population (P > 0.05; unpublished data); however, the frequency of cells expressing high density CD25 (Fig. 1 B, top) was modestly but significantly elevated in PBMCs of HIV-infected individuals (Fig. 1 B, bottom). The frequency of CD25hi cells in PBMCs of HIV+ subjects remained relatively constant over a broad range of memory CD4+ T cell percentages (Fig. 1 C), and the absolute numbers of this population declined proportionately with the loss of CD4+ T (memory) cells and disease progression (Fig. 1 D). In both HIV-infected and uninfected subjects, CD25CD45RO+CD4+ T cells expressed significantly (P < 0.002) higher levels, as
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compared with their CD25− counterparts, of surface markers (CD103, CD122, and intracellular CD152 [CTLA-4]) shown previously to be preferentially expressed on human CD25+CD4+ T cells that exhibit T reg cell–like suppressive activity (references 35, 43, 45–48 and unpublished data).

Figure 2. Functional analyses of the CD25+ memory CD4+ T cell subset from HIV-infected individuals. (A) Comparison of the HIV p24 proliferation SI of total versus CD25+ cell subset-depleted CD4+ memory T cells isolated from HIV-infected individuals with strong (top, HIV p24 LPA Suppressors) or no/weak (bottom, HIV p24 LPA Non-suppressors) HIV-specific CD25+ T reg cell suppressor activity. The geometric mean HIV p24 SI of total and CD25+ cellular subset-depleted populations from LPA suppressors versus LPA nonsuppressors was 5.6 and 20.4 versus 5.3 and 3.6, respectively. (B) HIV p24 SI in more extensive LPA assays using PBMCs and CD4+ memory T cell subsets from LPA suppressors (n = 19). Data are represented as mean HIV p24 SI ± SD. (C) Comparison of the suppressive activity of CD25+CD4+ memory T cells isolated from (top) HIV-uninfected individuals (n = 6) versus all HIV subjects (n = 9) and (bottom) from HIV+ subjects comparing HIV p24 LPA suppressors, nonsuppressors, and nonresponders (n = 3 for each category) under polyclonal (pool of allogeneic PBMCs) stimulation conditions. Only CD25+ memory CD4+ T cells from HIV p24 LPA nonresponders exhibited significantly (P < 0.04) weaker polyclonal T reg cell activity as compared with HIV-uninfected subjects or other groups of HIV+ subjects. Data are represented as mean ± SD percent inhibition of control (CD25− subset) SI. (D) Comparison of cytokine/chemokine production by anti-CD3–stimulated CD25+ and CD25− subsets of CD4+ memory cells isolated from HIV-uninfected individuals (n = 6) and HIV p24 LPA suppressors, nonsuppressors, and nonresponders (n = 4 for each category).
Functional Characterization of CD25+CD45RA−CD4+ T Cells Isolated from HIV-infected Individuals: Suppression of HIV-specific and HIV-nonspecific CD4+ T Cell Proliferation In Vitro. HIV p24 LPAs were performed using PBMCs and CD4+CD45RA−/RO+ (memory) T cell subsets (total vs. CD25+ subset depleted) from 52 HIV-infected individuals (Table I and Fig. 2 A). In experiments with PBMCs isolated from a portion of individuals (10/52; referred to as HIV p24 LPA nonresponders) the SI to HIV p24 failed to exceed a value of three under any cellular subset condition (unpublished data). In experiments with cellular subsets from the remaining individuals (n = 42) that did proliferate in response to HIV p24, CD25+ subset depletion of memory CD4+ T cells led to an average increase in the p24 SI of 3.2-fold (P = 0.0003; Table I). However, within this group, we observed two distinct patterns concerning the suppressive effect of the CD25+ subset on HIV p24 proliferative responses. Using a cut-off index of >1.5-fold enhancement of the p24 SI after CD25+ subset depletion from the total of memory CD4+ T cell population, individuals were separated into two groups as follows: those with strong (HIV p24 LPA suppressors) or those with no or weak (HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors) CD25+ subset suppressor effect. Approximately 31% of the individuals tested

Table I. Clinical and Functional Characteristics of HIV-infected Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient ID</th>
<th>CD4+ Tc cells/μL</th>
<th>CD8+ Tc cells/μL</th>
<th>CD4:CD8 ratio</th>
<th>Viral load HIV RNA copies/ml</th>
<th>Change in p24 SI</th>
<th>Percent CD25hi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All HIV+ subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>543</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14,275</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>21,845</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2,031</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A] LPA suppressors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5,839</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>9,977</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>821</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>252–1,380</td>
<td>218–2,400</td>
<td>0.3–1.8</td>
<td>&lt;50–29,000</td>
<td>1.6–18.5</td>
<td>2.1–11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B] LPA nonsuppressors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>1,186</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20,112</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25,950</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>1,121</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6,175</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>250–741</td>
<td>750–2,344</td>
<td>0.2–0.7</td>
<td>&lt;50–86,888</td>
<td>0.3–1.4</td>
<td>2.3–9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[C] Nonresponders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>34,319</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>11,909</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>29,867</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>160–781</td>
<td>461–2,093</td>
<td>0.1–1.1</td>
<td>&lt;50–79,000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.2–7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-value

A vs. B | 0.110 | 0.161 | 0.006 | 0.012 | NA | 0.45 |
A vs. C | 0.026 | 0.990 | 0.133 | 0.0001 | NA | 0.19 |
B vs. C | 0.370 | 0.272 | 0.593 | 0.260 | NA | 0.51 |

| In CD4+ memory Tc population. |
| n = 52. |
| n = 29. |
| n = 13. |
| n = 10. |
| NA, not applicable. |
(13/42) fell under the category of HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors (mean ± SD HIV p24 SI of total memory CD4+ T cells vs. CD25+ memory CD4+ T cells = 5.3 ± 3.4 vs. 4.6 ± 3.3, respectively; P = NS; Table I and Fig. 2 A, bottom). The majority of p24 responsive individuals (69%; 29/42) were HIV p24 LPA suppressors (mean ± SD HIV p24 SI of total memory CD4+ T cells vs. CD25- memory CD4+ T cells = 10.6 ± 16 vs. 44.7 ± 44, respectively; P = 0.0001; Table I and Fig. 2 A, top). No significant difference was found in the HIV p24 (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20032069/DC1) or the C. albicans (Fig. S3, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20032069/DC1) proliferative response (mean net cpm) of total CD4+ memory T cells from HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors as compared with HIV p24 LPA suppressors. In more extensive HIV p24 LPA analyses conducted with cells from 19 HIV p24 LPA suppressors, CD25+CD45RA+CD4+ cells were found to be anergic to stimulation with HIV p24 and the addition of this population to the CD25- memory population significantly suppressed HIV p24-induced proliferation of the latter memory subset (Fig. 2 B).

Individuals within the different HIV p24 LPA response categories were found to differ significantly in regard to several clinical parameters of HIV disease progression. Those individuals who displayed strong HIV-specific CD25+ CD4+CD45RA- T cell suppressor activity in vitro (HIV p24 LPA suppressors) had significantly lower viral loads and higher CD4+/CD8+ T cell ratios or CD4+ T cell counts than HIV p24 nonsuppressors and nonresponders (Table I). These observations support the hypothesis that HIV-specific CD25+ T reg cell activity is associated with immune competency and that HIV disease–related factors may reduce the activity of and/or the sensitivity to HIV-specific CD25+CD4+ T reg cells.

To assess whether the suppressive activity of CD25+ CD4+ T cells isolated from HIV-infected donors was also variable in the context of HIV nonspecific stimulation, CD25+CD45RA-CD4+ T cells isolated from a subset of individuals (n = 9 HIV+ and n = 6 HIV- subjects) were tested for their ability to suppress proliferation under polyclonal stimulation conditions (allogeneic PBMCs). No significant differences (P > 0.05) were observed in the suppressive activity of CD25+CD45RA-CD4+ T cells from uninfected versus HIV-infected subjects (as a group) (Fig. 2 C, top). However, upon segregation of HIV+ subjects based on their p24 LPA designation, CD25+ cells from HIV p24 LPA nonresponders exhibited significantly lower polyclonal suppressive activity than each of the other groups (P < 0.05; Fig. 2 C, bottom).

Cytokine Production Profiles of CD25+ and CD25-CD45RA-CD4+ T Cells. Consistent with previous papers (35, 46, 47, 49), anti-CD3–stimulated CD25+ CD45RA-CD4+ T cells from HIV p24 LPA suppressors and uninfected subjects produced dramatically lower levels of cytokines/chemokines, but similar levels of TGF-β, as compared with the CD25- subset (Fig. 2 D). Although not statistically significant (P = 0.07), there was a trend for higher cytokine production by the CD25+ subset from HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors and nonresponders as compared with LPA suppressors and HIV- subjects (Fig. 2 D).

Of interest, the CD25- subset isolated from HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors produced significantly lower levels of IL-10, TNF-α, and IFN-γ as compared with CD25+ cells isolated from HIV p24 LPA suppressors, suggesting that the function of the normal (CD25-) memory CD4+ T cell population may differ between these groups.

CD25+CD45RA-CD4+ T Cells Suppress HIV p24 Proliferative Responses Via a Cell Contact–dependent and IL-10/TGF-β–independent Mechanism. Immunosuppression mediated by CD25+CD4+ T reg cells has been reported to be cell contact dependent, IL-10 independent and, depending on the experimental system, independent or partially dependent on TGF-β (50–53). To determine whether CD25+CD45RA-CD4+ cell–mediated suppression of HIV p24-induced proliferation occurred via classic T reg cell mechanisms, HIV p24 LPA assays using cells from LPA suppressors were performed either in the context of transwells or in the presence of neutralizing anti–human IL-10 or anti–human TGF-β antibodies. Separation of CD25+ and CD25-CD45RA-CD4+ T cells with transwells com-
pletely eliminated the suppressive effects of the CD25\(^+\) subset (Fig. 3 A), but neither anti–IL-10 nor anti–TGF-\(\beta\) neutralizing antibodies significantly abrogated suppression (Fig. 3 B).

**CD25\(^+\) PBMCs Suppress HIV Gag Protein or Peptide-induced Cytokine Production by CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T Cells.** The ability to inhibit IL-2 production by CD4\(^+\) T cells is one of the first activities ascribed to CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cells (54). As expected, inhibition of HIV p24-stimulated memory CD4\(^+\) T cell proliferation by CD25\(^+\)CD45RA\(^-\)CD4\(^+\) T cells from HIV p24 LPA suppressors was associated with reduction in antigen-induced IL-2 secretion; furthermore, the CD25\(^+\) subset itself did not produce IL-2 in response to HIV p24 (Fig. 4 A). To rule out the possibility of IL-2 absorption by CD25\(^+\) cells, ICC flow cytometry was performed (Fig. 4 B). Sufficient numbers of cellular subsets were obtained in eight p24 LPA suppressor and five p24 LPA nonsuppressor subjects to perform parallel LPA and IL-2 ICC assays using p24 protein. Considering all HIV\(^+\) subjects, depletion of the CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cell subset resulted in a mean fold increase in the frequency of HIV antigen-induced IL-2\(^-\) cells of 2.6 \(\pm\) 2.0 (Table II). Although the frequency of total CD4\(^+\) memory T cells producing IL-2 in response to HIV antigen was not significantly lower in HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors as compared with suppressors (unpublished data), there was a significant difference between these two groups (\(P = 0.04\)) in regard to the enhancing effect of CD25\(^+\) cellular subset depletion on this activity (Table II). A similar enhancement was observed in regard to HIV antigen-induced IFN-\(\gamma\) production by CD4\(^+\) T cells after CD25\(^+\) cellular subset depletion, however, statistical significance was not achieved (Table II). No significant IL-2 or IFN-\(\gamma\) production was observed in the CD25\(^+\) memory CD4\(^+\) T cell subset in any experiments (unpublished data).

CD25\(^+\) CD4\(^+\) T reg cells have also been found to potentially inhibit antigen-specific CD8\(^+\) T cell functions such as cytokine production, proliferation, and cytolysis (26, 31, 32, 55, 56). In the present paper, the effect of CD25\(^+\) cell depletion on HIV Gag peptide-induced IFN-\(\gamma\) production was

![Figure 4. Suppression of HIV p24-stimulated proliferation by CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) memory T cells is associated with inhibition of IL-2 production. (A) IL-2 levels present in supernatants of an HIV p24 LPA using CD4\(^+\) memory subsets isolated from an HIV p24 LPA suppressor. Data are representative of 19 independent experiments; the limit of detection for this assay was 15 pg/ml. (B) IL-2 ICC assay of total versus CD25\(^+\) cell-depleted memory CD4\(^+\) T cells (plus 10% CD2\(^-\) PBMCs as APCs) isolated from an HIV p24 LPA suppressor stimulated with p24 protein for 13 h. Data are representative of eight independent experiments.](download)

**Table II. Effect of CD25\(^+\) Cell Depletion on HIV Gag-induced Cytokine Production by CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T Cells**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>CD8(^+)</th>
<th>CD4(^+)</th>
<th>CD4(^+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change in frequency of ICC(^+) cells(^a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All HIV(^+) subjects</td>
<td>2.1 (\pm) 1.4</td>
<td>2.1 (\pm) 1.3</td>
<td>2.6 (\pm) 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of subjects</td>
<td>10/17</td>
<td>6/11</td>
<td>9/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/ increased ICC(^+) cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA suppressors</td>
<td>2.5 (\pm) 1.6</td>
<td>2.1 (\pm) 1.5</td>
<td>3.5 (\pm) 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of subjects</td>
<td>9/12</td>
<td>5/7</td>
<td>8/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/ increased ICC(^+) cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPA nonsuppressors</td>
<td>1.2 (\pm) 0.4</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4 (\pm) 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of subjects</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w/ increased ICC(^+) cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value(^b)</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Percent ICC\(^+\) cells in CD25\(^+\) cell-depleted population/percent ICC\(^+\) cells in total population with Gag peptide or Gag protein stimulation.

\(^b\)HIV p24 LPA suppressors versus nonsuppressors.
assessed in PBMCs and CD25+ cellular subset-depleted PBMCs isolated from 17 HIV-infected individuals. As the CD25+ PBMC subset is composed primarily of CD45RO+ CD4+ T cells (Fig. 5 A), depletion of PBMCs based solely on CD25 expression closely reflects the removal of CD25+ memory CD4+ T cells as seen when more purified populations are used. As reported previously (56), depletion of the CD25+ cellular subset from PBMCs of HIV-infected individuals who effectively control viral replication in vivo (long-term nonprogressors [LTNPs]), as compared with those with progressive disease, is the potent proliferative capacity of the HIV-specific CD8+ effector (perforin+) T cell population after exposure to autologous HIV-infected cells (34). In the present paper, the effect of the CD25+ cell-depleted PBMCs isolated from an HIV p24 LPA suppressor. Data are representative of nine independent experiments.

**Figure 5.** Depletion of CD25+ cells enhances the frequency of CD8+ T cells producing IFN-γ in response to HIV Gag peptides. (A) CD25+ PBMCs are primarily CD4+ memory T cells. PBMCs were stained for CD25 and CD3; CD3+ and CD3+CD25+ populations (top) were analyzed (bottom) for the expression of other markers identifying the major PBMC cellular subsets. Approximately 90% of CD25+ PBMCs are CD3+ and the majority of these are CD4+CD45RO+ cells (bottom right); the remainder of the CD25+ PBMCs are primarily CD39+ B cells (bottom left). Data are representative of 25 independent experiments. (B) The frequency of CD8+ T cells producing IFN-γ after 6 h of exposure to HIV Gag peptides in unfractionated versus CD25+ cell-depleted PBMCs isolated from an HIV p24 LPA suppressor. Data are representative of six independent experiments conducted using cells from each culture condition were analyzed for perforin expression and for cellular division by CFSE (right). Values representing the percent CFSElo (dividing cells) perforin+ T cell population are indicated. Data are representative of six independent experiments conducted using cells from HIV p24 LPA suppressors (Fig. 5 B), and there was a significant difference between HIV p24 LPA suppressors and nonsuppressors for this effect (P = 0.02; Table II).

**Figure 6.** CD25+ but not CD25+CD4+ T cells suppress the proliferation of perforin-expressing effector CD8+ T cells cultured in the presence of autologous HIV super-infected target cells. (A) HIV super-infected target cells were added to CFSE-labeled CD8+ T cells alone, CFSE-labeled CD8+ T cells plus CD25+CD4+ T cells, or CFSE-labeled CD8+ T cells plus CD25+CD4+ T cells. Cultures were stained periodically for CD69 and CD3, and CFSE intensity was assessed over the course of 3–10 d after coculture (left). At the time by which at least four division cycles could be visualized (6–10 d), CD8+ cells from each culture condition were analyzed for perforin expression and for cellular division by CFSE (right). Values representing the percent CFSElo (dividing cells) perforin+ T cells within the total CFSE+ perforin+ cellular population are indicated. Data are representative of six independent experiments conducted using cells from HIV p24 LPA suppressors. (B) Mean ± SD percent dividing cells (CFSElo) within the perforin+ CFSE+ (CD8+) T cell population in experiments with cells isolated from HIV p24 LPA suppressors with normal HIV disease progression (left, n = 6) or from long-term nonprogressors (LTNPs; right, n = 2). In experiments with cells from HIV p24 LPA suppressors, perforin+ CD8+ T cells cultured with CD25+CD4+ T cells proliferated to a significantly lesser degree in response to HIV-infected target cells than did those with target cells alone or those cultured with CD25+CD4+ T cells (*, P < 0.03).
Discussion

The present paper presents evidence supporting the potential role of host-mediated immunosuppressive mechanisms, specifically CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) regulatory T cells, in the diminution of HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T responses in asymptomatic HIV-infected individuals. HIV-infected individuals whose CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells did not demonstrate HIV-specific T cell reg activity in vitro had significantly higher levels of plasma viremia and lower CD4\(^+\) T cell counts or CD4\(^+\)CD8\(^+\) T cell ratios than did individuals with strong HIV-specific T cell reg activity. These data suggest that CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cell–mediated immunosuppression may play a role in the diminution of HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) T cell responses early in disease but that other factors associated with HIV infection obscure or reduce this activity in individuals with more progressed disease.

The primary purpose of the present paper was to determine whether HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cells are present in infected individuals and, if so, to characterize their suppressive activity in regard to CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) HIV-specific T cell immune responses. Due to the low frequency of CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cells and the weak cytokine production by this population, quantifying antigen-specific subsets by classical MHC-peptide tetramers or ICC assays has not been feasible. As CD25\(^+\) T reg cells must be activated via classic antigen-specific TCR triggering to exert suppressive activity (15, 18, 33, 57), the most effective method of assessing HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cells is to determine the ability of CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T cells to inhibit T cell responses after stimulation with HIV antigens. Our data demonstrate that HIV-specific T reg cells, capable of suppressing both CD4\(^+\) and CD8\(^+\) HIV-specific T cell function in vitro, are present in the majority of healthy HIV\(^+\) individuals tested. However, the quality and/or quantity of HIV-specific CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cells appears to be diminished in certain individuals (HIV p24 LPA nonsuppressors; Tables I and II) before the loss of polyclonal T reg cell activity (Fig. 2 C) and the loss of normal (CD25\(^-\)) HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) or CD8\(^+\) T cell responses (Fig. 2 A). In HIV p24 LPA nonresponders, both polyclonal and HIV-specific T reg cell activity, as well as normal HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) T cell function, is impaired.

Although variability in human CD25\(^+\) T reg cell–mediated suppression of T cell responses can be seen depending on the in vitro systems used (33), HIV disease–associated dysfunction of both CD25\(^+\) (normal) CD4\(^+\) and CD25\(^-\)CD4\(^+\) T cells might be expected. In addition to the potential cytopathic effects of direct HIV infection of cells expressing CD4, particularly HIV-specific memory T cells (7), the interaction between HIV or envelope proteins and CD4 or chemokine receptors (HIV coreceptors) has been shown to significantly alter normal T cell function and sensitivity to apoptosis (9, 58–61). In this regard, loss of both HIV-specific and nonspecific CD25\(^-\)CD4\(^+\) memory T cell and CD25\(^+\) T reg cell responses were associated with significantly higher levels of plasma HIV viremia (Table I). Of interest, among individuals with intact HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) CD4\(^+\) T cell function, those lacking HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cell activity in vitro had significantly lower CD4\(^+\):CD8\(^+\) T cell ratios, a strong prognostic indicator in HIV disease progression (62), as compared with those who maintained this activity. These data suggest that, rather than playing an increasingly important role in HIV-associated immune dysfunction as disease progresses, HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cell–mediated immunosuppression is most relevant in healthy HIV-infected individuals that are relatively immunocompetent. Furthermore, HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cell function appears to be particularly sensitive to HIV disease–associated immune dysregulation and may be compromised even before normal (CD25\(^-\)) HIV-specific CD4\(^+\) T cell responses.

Persistent antigens/pathogens, such as HIV, are believed to promote the expansion and activation of antigen–specific CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cells (16, 17, 63–66) and, under certain conditions, to induce normal CD4\(^+\) T cells to gain CD25\(^+\) T reg cell phenotype and function (15, 24). However, numerous factors associated with HIV infection, such as diminished capacity to produce IL-2 (1, 2, 67, 68), a cytokine critical for the development and the expansion of CD25\(^+\) T reg cells (69); HIV-mediated disruption of CD4\(^+\) T cell signaling (9, 58, 60); or alterations in Toll-like receptor signaling that can regulate T reg cell activity (29, 70–72), could limit the ability of HIV-specific T reg cells to become activated and proliferate in vivo. In addition, abnormal immune activation associated with HIV disease may alter the relative frequency of T reg cells, anergic cells, and normal activated T cells within the total CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) memory T cell population in the peripheral blood, as suggested by our cytokine data (Fig. 2 D). In this regard, the peripheral blood may not be the most appropriate compartment in which to accurately assess HIV-specific CD25\(^+\) T reg cell activity as antigen–specific CD25\(^+\)CD4\(^+\) T reg cells have been shown to accumulate or expand at tissue sites of
antigen expression or pathogen replication, where they exert site-localized immunosuppression (44, 63, 65, 73). With this in mind, we are currently assessing whether, in individuals with more active viral replication, HIV-specific CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T reg cells reside largely in the lymphoid tissue, the primary site of HIV replication in vivo (74).

The data of the present paper suggest that HIV-specific CD25⁺ T reg cell function may be compromised relatively early in HIV disease. Therefore, the question is raised whether or not this is reflective of a reduction in the numbers or function of the total CD25⁺ T reg cell population. Several lines of evidence obtained in the present paper suggest that the total CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cell population is relatively intact in the majority of healthy HIV-infected individuals. First, the frequency of the CD25⁺⁶⁺ subset within the CD45RO⁺CD4⁺ T cell population of HIV-infected individuals is stable across a broad percentage range of CD4⁺ memory T cells (Fig. 1 C) and is, in fact, slightly elevated as compared with HIV-uninfected individuals (Fig. 1 B). Second, Fox P3 expression, a functionally relevant marker for immunosuppressive CD25⁺CD4⁺ T cells (38–42), was strongly expressed in CD25⁺CD4⁺ T cells from all HIV⁺ subjects tested and did not appear significantly altered as compared with HIV uninfected donors (Fig. 1 A). Third, polyclonal CD25⁺ T reg cell activity was not significantly different in the majority of healthy HIV⁺ subjects tested as compared with HIV-uninfected individuals (Fig. 2 C). However, it should be noted that polyclonal CD25⁺ T reg cell function was impaired in the subset of individuals (HIV LPA nonresponders) who, on average, had the lowest CD4⁺ T cell counts and highest levels of plasma viremia (Table 1). Additional studies that include a larger number of individuals with advanced disease will be necessary to confirm this observation. Finally, the levels of HIV provirus (DNA) detected in CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T cells were not significantly different than those detected in the CD25⁺ subset (Fig. S4, available at http://www.jem.org/cgi/content/full/jem.20032069/DC1), indicating that there is not preferential infection of CD25⁺ T reg cells. Together, these data support the hypothesis that there is a preferential alteration in the quantity, function, or tissue distribution of the HIV-specific, as compared with the total, CD25⁺ T reg cell population with HIV disease progression.

Definitive data regarding the role of CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cells in pathogenic infections in humans is difficult to obtain at this point in time; however, the possibility that CD25⁺ CD4⁺ T reg cells, and perhaps other cellular populations, suppress HIV-specific CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell responses in infected individuals in vivo is intriguing. CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cell–mediated suppression of antimicrobial immune responses clearly could have deleterious effects, but in certain infections, may also have potentially beneficial ramifications. In this regard, it has been proposed that the function of CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cells in the context of pathogenic infections is to control excessive, potentially dangerous, cellular immune responses and/or allow low level pathogen persistence as an effective strategy to prevent reinfection with more virulent strains (15, 16, 18, 23, 28, 75, 76). HIV antigen-stimulated CD25⁺CD4⁺ T reg cell–mediated suppression of HIV-specific and nonspecific T cell responses may be one mechanism by which several detrimental processes, such as cellular activation–associated apoptosis/anergy, immune-mediated destruction, and, for CD4⁺ T cells, susceptibility to productive HIV infection, are kept under control (10, 12, 77, 78). In this scenario, loss of HIV-specific CD25⁺ T reg cell activity could prove to have an overall detrimental effect on the health of HIV-infected individuals. Additional studies will be needed determined whether HIV-specific CD25⁺ T reg cell activity, if operative in vivo, hastens or delays HIV disease progression.
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